UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,356	08/02/2006	Katsumi Yamaguchi	050635	6417
	7590 04/22/201 TOS & HANSON, LL	=	EXAM	IINER
1420 K Street, N.W.			VAN, QUANG T	
4th Floor WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,356	YAMAGUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang T. Van	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  JTHS from the mailing date of this comminate of the comminat	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat	·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 4-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,6 and 8 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 4 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2005 is,  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination The Section 11.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[ e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	I.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat*  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/551,356 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al (US 5,289,975) in view of Yamada (US 2003/0051851) and JP2001-293551 all previously cited, and also in view of Anderson et al (US 5,423,520). Miller discloses a method and apparatus for atomizing molten metal comprising a discharge nozzle (10) which melts metal and discharge port for jetting the molten metal from one end of said discharge nozzle (col. 2, lines 55-65). However, Miller does not disclose a pressing body and said discharge nozzle includes a curved surface shaped inner wall and said molten metal jetted from said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets. Yamada discloses, figure 7, a pressing body (20A), and JP 2001-293551 discloses, figure 2, said discharge nozzle (12) includes a curved surface shaped inner wall (Figure 2). Anderson discloses a molten metal jetted from said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets (col. 3, lines 60-68). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Miller a pressing body as taught by Yamada in order to press said molten metal in said nozzle, and said discharge nozzle includes a curved surface shaped inner wall as taught by JP 2001-293551 in order to form a focal point at said discharge port or in the vicinity of said

Application/Control Number: 10/551,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

discharge port, and a molten metal jetted from said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets as taught by Anderson in order to quench to form fine metallic powders.

## Response to Amendment

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/9/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant argues that neither Yamada nor JP2001-293551 discloses or suggests 4. the structure to concentrate the pressure applied by the pressing body on the discharge port of the discharge nozzle, and therefore, claims 4 and 7 are not obvious over Yamada and JP2001-293551, taken separately or in combination. This is not found persuasive. Miller discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention as disclosed above except a pressing body and said discharge nozzle includes a curved surface shaped inner wall and said molten metal jetted from said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets. Yamada discloses, figure 7, a pressing body (20A), and JP 2001-293551 discloses, figure 2, said discharge nozzle (12) includes a curved surface shaped inner wall (Figure 2). Anderson discloses a molten metal jetted from said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets (col. 3, lines 60-68). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Miller a pressing body as taught by Yamada in order to press said molten metal in said nozzle, and said discharge nozzle includes a curved surface shaped inner wall as taught by JP 2001-293551 in order to form a focal point at said discharge port or in the vicinity of said discharge port, and a molten metal jetted from said discharge port is spherical molten metal droplets as taught by Anderson in order to guench to form fine

Application/Control Number: 10/551,356

Art Unit: 3742

metallic powders. Further, Yamada is only cited for the missing limitation of a pressing, and body, JP 2001-293551 is only cited for the missing limitation of the discharge nozzle includes a curved surface shaped inner wall, and Anderson is cited for the teaching of spherical molten droplets of the melt discharged. Miller, Yamada, JP 2001-293551, and Anderson are all in the same technical field of nozzle with melting molten alloy material, therefore, one ordinary skill in the art would used these references to combine.

Page 4

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T. Van whose telephone number is 571-272-4789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 5:00Pm M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,356 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Quang T Van/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742 April 20, 2011

Quang T Van Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742